

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/892,773	MORIWAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Enrique L. Santiago	2671	

All Participants:

(1) Enrique L. Santiago.

(2) Edward J. Wise.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: September 2, 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent no. 6,760,024 B1

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Base on new art the Examiner would reject some claims, because the present writing of the claims does not sufficiently distinguish the invention from US patent no. 6,760,024 B1. The examiner suggested that adding text from the Specification, page 10, lines 11-12 would clarify the difference between the applicants claims and the '024' patent. .